



SCIENTIFIC CONSIDERATIONS ON PHRASEOLOGICAL UNITS WITH ORNITHONYM COMPONENTS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEKI.

Aliyeva Zimikhol

Teacher, Termez State Pedagogical Institute,
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10065740>

Abstract: This article provides sufficient information about scientific views on phraseological units with an ornithonymic component in English and Uzbek languages. The meaning of each phraseological term is revealed.

Key words: ornithonym, English and Uzbek languages, component, analysis, synthesis, methodology, phraseological units, scientists, researchers.

While there may not be extensive scientific research specifically focused on phraseological units with ornithonym components in English and Uzbek, the study of phraseology and linguistics can provide insights into the use and significance of such units within these languages. Researchers interested in the field of phraseology may explore the following scientific considerations when analyzing phraseological units with ornithonym components in English and Uzbek:

Semantic Analysis: Conducting a semantic analysis of phraseological units with ornithonym components can reveal how these components contribute to the overall meaning of the phrases. Researchers can examine how the characteristics and behaviors associated with specific bird species are metaphorically or symbolically used in these phrases to convey certain concepts or ideas.

Cultural and Historical Significance: Investigating the cultural and historical contexts in which these phraseological units are used can provide insights into the societal values, beliefs, and traditions associated with birds and their symbolism in English and Uzbek cultures. This exploration can shed light on the ways in which birds are perceived and integrated into language and communication within these communities.

Comparative Linguistic Analysis: Comparing the usage of ornithonym-based phraseological units in English and Uzbek can offer valuable insights into the linguistic and cultural differences between the two languages. Researchers can examine how the cultural perceptions of birds and their symbolic significance influence the formation and usage of phraseological units in each language.

Cognitive Linguistics: Exploring the cognitive processes involved in the comprehension and interpretation of phraseological units with ornithonym components can provide a deeper understanding of how language users mentally process and associate these units with specific meanings and concepts. Researchers can investigate the cognitive mechanisms that underlie the interpretation of metaphorical or figurative expressions involving ornithonyms.

By considering these scientific perspectives, researchers can gain a comprehensive understanding of the roles and implications of phraseological units with ornithonym components in English and Uzbek, thereby contributing to the broader fields of linguistics, cultural studies, and cognitive science.



Studying phraseological units with ornithonym components in English and Uzbek involves a comprehensive methodology that encompasses various linguistic and cultural aspects. Here is a methodology that can be employed to analyze and explore these phraseological units:

Corpus Collection: Compile a comprehensive corpus of phraseological units from both English and Uzbek sources, including literature, language resources, dictionaries, and cultural texts. This step involves gathering a diverse range of texts to ensure a representative collection of phraseological units with ornithonym components.

Identification and Categorization: Identify and categorize the phraseological units based on their structural and semantic features. Classify them according to the specific ornithonyms used, the cultural contexts in which they appear, and the underlying meanings or connotations associated with the bird components.

Semantic and Pragmatic Analysis: Conduct a thorough semantic and pragmatic analysis of the identified phraseological units to examine the literal and figurative meanings conveyed by the ornithonym components. Analyze how these components contribute to the overall metaphorical or symbolic representations within the sentences, considering the cultural and linguistic implications in both English and Uzbek.

Comparative Analysis: Compare the usage and functions of phraseological units with ornithonym components in English and Uzbek to identify any linguistic or cultural variations. Investigate how these differences reflect the cultural perceptions and symbolic associations with birds in the respective languages, and examine any cross-linguistic influences or similarities.

Sociolinguistic and Cultural Contextualization: Explore the sociolinguistic and cultural contexts surrounding the use of these phraseological units in both languages. Analyze the historical and contemporary cultural representations of birds, as well as the societal values and beliefs associated with these representations, to understand how these factors influence the formation and usage of ornithonym-based phraseological units.

Cognitive Linguistic Analysis: Apply cognitive linguistic theories to investigate the cognitive mechanisms involved in the interpretation and understanding of phraseological units with ornithonym components. Examine how cognitive processes, such as conceptual metaphors and cognitive mappings, contribute to the comprehension and interpretation of these units in both languages.

Interpretation and Implications: Interpret the findings within the broader context of linguistics, cultural studies, and cognitive science, highlighting the implications of the study for understanding the cultural symbolism, linguistic creativity, and cognitive processing of phraseological units with ornithonym components in English and Uzbek.

By following this methodology, researchers can conduct a comprehensive and insightful analysis of phraseological units with ornithonym components in English and Uzbek, contributing to a deeper understanding of the linguistic, cultural, and cognitive dimensions associated with these units.

References:

1.Yuldashevich F. O. Nominal Periphrases in Modern English and its Use in Text //Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT. – 2022. – T. 2. – №. 6. – C. 20-24.



- 2.Фазилов О. Ю. МОТИВАЦИОННЫЕ ОСНОВЫ ПРОИЗВОДНЫХ ИМЕН В УЗБЕКСКОМ И АНГЛИЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ //Экономика и социум. – 2022. – №. 12-1 (103). – С. 995-998.
- 3.Yuldashevich F. O. PRIMARY AND SECONDARY NOMINATION: DIFFERENTIATION OF CONCEPTS //World Bulletin of Social Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 22. – С. 137-139.
- 4.Yuldashevich F. O. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR THE STUDY OF SECONDARY NOMINATION AS A METHOD OF TERMINOLOGY FORMATION //Academia Science Repository. – 2023. – Т. 4. – №. 05. – С. 93-97.
- 5.Yuldashevich F. O. MOTIVATIONAL BASES OF DERIVED NAMES IN UZBEK AND ENGLISH LANGUAGES //European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies. – 2022. – Т. 2. – №. 11. – С. 194-196.
- 6.Yuldashevich F. O. Paraphrase in English fiction //Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities. – 2022. – Т. 12. – №. 5. – С. 220-225.
- 7.Yuldashevich F. O. Teaching the spiritual development of young people in the study of the humanities //Thematics Journal of English Language Teaching. – 2021. – Т. 5. – №. 1.
- 8.Фазилов О. Ю. Применение компьютерных программ и обучающих дисков при обучении иностранным языкам //Вопросы педагогики. – 2018. – №. 3. – С. 110-113.
- 9.Fozilov O. Y. LINGUAL ANALYSIS OF SOME PAREMIOLOGICAL UNITS COMPARISON IN UZBEK AND ENGLISH LANGUAGES //Theoretical & Applied Science. – 2020. – №. 10. – С. 426-429.
- 10.Фазилов О. Ю., Рамазанов Ш. Н. АКТУАЛЬНОСТЬ ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ ГАЗЕТНЫХ СТАТЕЙ, В ПРОЦЕССЕ ОБУЧЕНИЯ РАЗГОВОРНОМУ АНГЛИЙСКОМУ ЯЗЫКУ //Вопросы педагогики. – 2018. – №. 3. – С. 115-116.
- 11.Фазилов О. Ю., Тураева Р. Б. СОДЕРЖАНИЕ ОБУЧЕНИЯ АНГЛИЙСКОМУ ЯЗЫКУ КАК ВТОРОМУ ИНОСТРАННОМУ //Вопросы педагогики. – 2018. – №. 4-2. – С. 92-94.
- 12.Фазилов О. Ю., Мирзаева Л. А. СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ АНАЛИЗ И ХАРАКТЕРИСТИКА СОВРЕМЕННЫХ МЕТОДИК ОБУЧЕНИЯ АНГЛИЙСКОМУ ЯЗЫКУ //Вопросы педагогики. – 2018. – №. 4-2. – С. 94-96.
- 13.Yuldashevich F. O. Teaching English as a Foreign Languages through Proverbs. – 2020.
- 14.Niyozaliyevna K. I., Saitovna T. K. Using Interactive Methods In Learner-Centered Lessons //Journal of Pedagogical Inventions and Practices. – 2022. – Т. 9. – С. 68-70.
- 15.Хидирова И. Н., Гелдиева Д. Э. ФОРМА ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ ГЛАГОЛА В СОВРЕМЕННОМ АНГЛИЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ //КУЛЬТУРОЛОГИЯ, ИСКУССТВОВЕДЕНИЕ И ФИЛОЛОГИЯ: СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ВЗГЛЯДЫ И НАУЧНЫЕ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЯ. – 2020. – С. 7-11.
- 16.Хидирова И. и др. ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТЬ ИНФОРМАЦИОННОЙ ТЕХНОЛОГИЙ В ОБУЧЕНИИ ЛЕКСИКЕ УЧАЩИХСЯ //Молодой исследователь: вызовы и перспективы. – 2019. – С. 93-96.
- 17.Хидирова И. Н. и др. КОММУНИКАТИВНАЯ КОМПЕТЕНЦИЯ КАК ОСНОВНАЯ ЦЕЛЬ ОБУЧЕНИЯ АНГЛИЙСКОМУ ЯЗЫКУ КАК ВТОРОМУ ИНОСТРАННОМУ //Интернаука. – 2019. – №. 19-3. – С. 16-17.
- 18.Alieva Zimikhol Ashurkulovna. (2022). ADVERTISING TEXTS AND THE LANGUAGE OF ADVERTISING TEXTS. European Scholar Journal, 3(5), 111-114. Retrieved from <https://www.scholarzest.com/index.php/esj/article/view/2261>
- 19.Ashurkulovna, Alieva Zimikhol. "PHRASEOLOGY-AS A LINGUISTIC DISCIPLINE." World Bulletin of Social Sciences 22 (2023): 27-30.



- 20.Yuldashevich, Fazilov Odil. "PRIMARY AND SECONDARY NOMINATION: DIFFERENTIATION OF CONCEPTS." World Bulletin of Social Sciences 22 (2023): 137-139.
- 21.Ashurkulovna, A. Z. (2022). Adverting texts and their phonetic features.
- 22.Samadova V. SIMILE IS ONE OF THE OLDEST FORM OF A SPEECH //Science and innovation in the education system. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. 4. – C. 82-83.
- 23.Samadova V. SIMILE IS A TYPE OF METAPHOR //Science and innovation in the education system. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. 4. – C. 84-86.
- 24.Samadova V. SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN METAPHOR AND SIMILES IN ENGLISH //Theoretical aspects in the formation of pedagogical sciences. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. 4. – C. 258-260.
- 25.Samadova V. B. THE EARLY HISTORY OF SIMILES //Экономика и социум. – 2022. – №. 10-1 (101). – C. 173-176.
- 26.Samadova V. LINGUOCULTUROLOGY AS A MODERN BRANCH OF GENERAL LINGUISTICS //Интернаука. – 2021. – №. 18-4. – C. 46-47.
27. Ter S. U. SPEECH FORMULAS AND VARIABLES IN THE ENGLISH AND UZBEK LANGUAGES.
- 28.Fayzullaevna K. M. PRINCIPLES OF PRESENTING THE MATERIAL ON VOICE FORMS //Science and Education. – 2021. – T. 2. – №. 1. – C. 304-308.
- 29.Fayzullaevna K. M. The essence of language in philology, logic and psychology //Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture. – 2021. – T. 2. – №. 2. – C. 1-7.
- 30.Norqobilov S. R. O. G. L. STYLISTICS AND SPEECH CULTURE IN A LITERARY WORK //Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS). – 2022. – T. 2. – №. 5. – C. 301-306.
- 31.Rahmat o'g'li N. S. LINGUO-DIDACTIC FEATURES OF PHRASEOLOGICAL UNITS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK //Spectrum Journal of Innovation, Reforms and Development. – 2023. – T. 15. – C. 235-237.
- 32.Rahmat o'g'li N. S. LANGUAGE AND CULTURE IN CLASSROOMS: GREETING, WAYS OF EXPRESSING POLITENESS //Open Access Repository. – 2023. – T. 9. – №. 4. – C. 535-536.
- 33.Raxmat o'g'li N. S. SURXON VOHASINING TARIXIY TOPONIMIKASI VA GEOGRAFIYASIGA DOIR YOZMA VA MODDIY MANBALAR //O'ZBEKISTONDA FANLARARO INNOVATSIYALAR VA ILMIY TADQIQOTLAR JURNALI. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. 12. – C. 239-247.
- 34.Norqobilov S. THE FEATURES OF TRANSLATING FUNCTIONAL STYLE //Scientific progress. – 2022. – T. 3. – №. 3. – C. 52-54.
- 35.ESHQUVVATOVA, GULASAL ABDULLO QIZI. "ГУМАНИТАРНЫЙ ТРАКТАТ." ГУМАНИТАРНЫЙ ТРАКТАТ Учредители: ИП Никитин Игорь Анатольевич 109: 4-6.
- 36.Мирзаева Л. Р. Коммуникативный метод обучения иноязычному говорению //Гуманитарный трактат. – 2019. – №. 72. – C. 20-22.
- 37.Mirzoeva L. TEACHING ENGLISH IN THE FIELDS OF TOURISM //Academic research in educational sciences. – 2021. – T. 2. – №. 10. – C. 714-717.
- 38.Laylo M. Internet as a Means of Teaching a Foreign Language //European Science Methodical Journal. – 2023. – T. 1. – №. 2. – C. 12-15.
- 39.Laylo M. COMMUNICATIVE LANGUAGE ACTIVITIES //European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies. – 2022. – T. 2. – №. 11. – C. 32-35.
- 40.Laylo M. Tourism Industry in the World //JournalNX. – C. 336-338.



41. Мирзаева Л. Р. КУЛЬТУРОВЕДЧЕСКИЙ ПОДХОД К ОБУЧЕНИЮ МЕЖКУЛЬТУРНОМУ ОБЩЕНИЮ НА ИНОСТРАННОМ ЯЗЫКЕ // Гуманитарный трактат. – 2019. – №. 72. – С. 23-24.
42. Мирзаева Ф. С. РОЛЬ ГРАММАТИКИ, ЕЁ МЕСТО СРЕДИ АСПЕКТОВ ЯЗЫКА // Гуманитарный трактат. – 2019. – №. 72. – С. 38-39.
43. Мирзаева Ф. С. НАУЧНО-ТЕХНОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ИННОВАЦИИ ПРЕПОДАВАНИЯ АНГЛИЙСКОГО ЯЗЫКА // Academic research in educational sciences. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 10. – С. 694-698.
44. Olimovna M. G. Selecting Authentic Materials In Teaching English // The American Journal of Interdisciplinary Innovations and Research. – 2020. – Т. 2. – №. 10. – С. 103-105.
45. Samixdjonovna M. F. PEDAGOGIKADA TERMINALOGIYA // Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 9. – С. 1226-1228.
46. Samixdjonovna M. F. The Importance of Modern Educational Technologies // JournalNX. – С. 311-314.
47. Uralovich T. F. Conducting classes on fine arts based on information and communication technologies // International Engineering Journal For Research & Development. – 2021. – Т. 6. – С. 3-3.
48. Toshpo'latov F. Arxitektura ob'ektlarida chizmachilik va amaliy san'atni bartaraf etishda geometrik naqshlar va ularning turlaridan foydalanish // Fiziko-texnologicheskogo obrazovanie. – 2022. – Т. 1. – №. 1.
49. Toshpo'latov F. U. et al. Bolalarni o'yin texnologiyalari asosida kasb-hunarga qiziqishlarini shakllantirishda rivojlantiruvchi o'yinlar // Science and Education. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 4. – С. 487-491.
50. Toshpulatov F. U., Norqochqorov R. E. O., Maxmudova X. N. Q. Xalq amaliy san'atining chizmachilik fani bilan bog'liqligi // Academic research in educational sciences. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 138-142.

