



CODE-SWITCHING IN MULTILINGUAL LANGUAGE CLASSROOMS: PSYCHOLINGUISTIC FUNCTIONS AND PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

Jakhongirova Gulkhayo Jakhongirovna

Student of Navoi State University

Email: jahongirovagulhayo1@gmail.com

Tel: +998 99 133 71 42

Orcid ID: 0009-0006-9914-9260

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23283912>

Abstract

Code-switching, defined as the alternation between two or more languages or language varieties during communication, represents a widespread feature of multilingual interaction and foreign language classrooms. In traditional language teaching, particularly within monolingual-oriented approaches, the use of learners' first language (L1) has often been discouraged because exclusive target-language exposure has been considered essential for successful language acquisition. However, contemporary psycholinguistic perspectives emphasize that multilingual speakers simultaneously possess and activate interconnected linguistic resources. From this perspective, code-switching may function as a cognitive, communicative, and pedagogical resource rather than simply as a deviation from target-language use.

The present article investigates the psycholinguistic functions of code-switching in multilingual language classrooms and examines its pedagogical implications for foreign language education. A qualitative descriptive methodology was adopted through a thematic analysis of theoretical and empirical literature concerning bilingual language processing, multilingual cognition, first-language use, classroom interaction, memory, attention, and foreign language pedagogy. The analysis indicates that strategic code-switching can support comprehension, facilitate the processing of unfamiliar information, reduce unnecessary cognitive demands, reinforce lexical associations, maintain classroom communication, and increase learners' willingness to participate. At the same time, excessive reliance on L1 may reduce target-language exposure, encourage translation dependency, and limit opportunities for spontaneous communication in the target language. The findings suggest that the pedagogical value of code-switching depends not on its complete elimination or unlimited use, but on its strategic management. Learners' proficiency level, instructional objectives, task type, classroom context, and frequency of language alternation should be considered when determining appropriate use. The article concludes that carefully planned code-switching can contribute to a more flexible, cognitively informed, and learner-centered approach to multilingual language education.

Keywords: code-switching, multilingualism, psycholinguistics, bilingualism, foreign language learning, first language, target language, cognitive processing, classroom interaction, language pedagogy

Introduction

The rapid growth of multilingual and multicultural societies has significantly transformed the context of foreign language education. Contemporary learners increasingly encounter several languages in their academic, professional, and social lives. A student may use one

language at home, another in educational settings, and a foreign language in academic or digital environments. Consequently, language learning can no longer be fully understood through a strictly monolingual perspective. In multilingual classrooms, the interaction between languages constitutes an important part of the learning process.

One of the most visible manifestations of multilingual interaction is code-switching. Code-switching refers to the alternation between two or more languages, language varieties, or linguistic codes within a single communicative event. This alternation may occur between sentences, clauses, phrases, or individual words. In foreign language classrooms, code-switching frequently occurs between the learners' first language and the target language. For example, an English teacher may explain a difficult grammatical concept in English and then briefly provide clarification in Uzbek or another shared classroom language.

Historically, code-switching in foreign language education was often interpreted as evidence of insufficient target-language competence. Methodological approaches influenced by strong versions of the Direct Method and later English-only policies emphasized the exclusive use of the target language. The underlying assumption was that exposure to the target language should be maximized and that the first language might interfere with acquisition. According to this perspective, translation and L1 use could prevent learners from developing the ability to think directly in the target language.

However, the development of bilingualism and psycholinguistic research has questioned the assumption that multilingual speakers process their languages as completely independent systems. Research on bilingual language processing indicates that more than one linguistic system can be activated during speech comprehension and production. Consequently, multilingual learners may naturally draw on knowledge from all of their available linguistic resources.

From a psycholinguistic perspective, code-switching is therefore significant because it provides insight into how language systems interact in the multilingual mind. When a learner hears an unfamiliar English word, for instance, semantic or lexical knowledge associated with the learner's first language may contribute to interpreting the new input. Similarly, when learners experience difficulty producing a target-language expression, they may temporarily activate an L1 equivalent before returning to the target language.

The classroom context adds another important dimension to this phenomenon. Teachers do not use language only to present linguistic knowledge. They also use language to manage classroom behavior, give instructions, establish interpersonal relationships, check comprehension, encourage participation, and explain complex concepts. Therefore, code-switching may perform several simultaneous functions.

The cognitive dimension of code-switching is especially relevant to foreign language learning because processing a foreign language requires substantial attention and working-memory resources. Learners must recognize unfamiliar sounds, identify words, construct grammatical meaning, infer context, and produce appropriate responses, often within a limited amount of time. For lower-proficiency learners, these demands may become particularly challenging. Strategic L1 use may help reduce unnecessary processing difficulties while allowing learners to focus on the main instructional objective.

At the same time, the use of code-switching involves potential risks. When learners become too dependent on their first language, they may avoid productive struggle, rely on

direct translation, and receive less meaningful exposure to the target language. Excessive L1 use may also reduce opportunities for spontaneous interaction and target-language negotiation of meaning.

Therefore, the central issue is not whether code-switching is inherently good or bad, but when, why, and how it is used. Understanding the psycholinguistic mechanisms underlying code-switching can help teachers make more informed pedagogical decisions.

The present study aims to examine the relationship between code-switching, multilingual language processing, and foreign language pedagogy. More specifically, the study explores how code-switching may affect comprehension, cognitive processing, memory, communication, and learner participation, while also considering the risks of excessive reliance on L1.

The study addresses the following research questions:

1. What psycholinguistic functions does code-switching perform in multilingual language classrooms?
2. How can code-switching influence learners' comprehension and cognitive processing?
3. In what ways can code-switching support memory, vocabulary learning, and classroom interaction?
4. What are the main pedagogical advantages and disadvantages of code-switching?
5. Under what conditions can teachers use code-switching effectively in foreign language instruction?

The significance of this study lies in its attempt to connect psycholinguistic theory with classroom practice. Understanding code-switching as a multidimensional phenomenon may help foreign language teachers move beyond rigid “English-only” versus “L1-based” approaches and develop a more flexible model of multilingual pedagogy.

Methods

2.1. Research Design

The study employed a qualitative descriptive research design based on a review and thematic analysis of academic literature. A qualitative approach was selected because the primary objective was to identify, compare, and interpret the different psycholinguistic and pedagogical functions attributed to code-switching in multilingual learning environments.

Rather than measuring code-switching through a controlled experimental intervention, the study synthesized findings and arguments from existing scholarly research. This approach allows the phenomenon to be considered from multiple perspectives, including bilingual cognition, language processing, classroom discourse, and foreign language pedagogy.

2.2. Literature Selection

The analysis focused on academic publications addressing the following areas:

- code-switching and bilingualism;
- first-language use in foreign language classrooms;
- psycholinguistic theories of multilingual language processing;
- vocabulary acquisition and lexical memory;
- attention and working-memory demands in language learning;
- classroom interaction and teacher discourse;
- pedagogical approaches to multilingual education.

The literature included theoretical works as well as empirical studies discussing the functions and consequences of L1 use and code-switching in second and foreign language learning.

2.3. Analytical Procedure

The selected literature was analyzed thematically. Relevant findings were grouped into four principal dimensions:

A. Cognitive Function

This category examined how code-switching may influence mental processing, attention, and the management of cognitive demands during foreign language learning.

B. Comprehension and Meaning Construction

This dimension focused on the role of L1 in helping learners interpret unfamiliar vocabulary, grammatical concepts, instructions, and abstract content.

C. Communicative and Interactional Function

This category considered how code-switching contributes to maintaining conversations, overcoming lexical gaps, expressing emotions, managing social relationships, and participating in classroom activities.

Results

The thematic analysis revealed that code-switching performs multiple interconnected psycholinguistic and pedagogical functions. The findings can be organized into five major areas: comprehension, cognitive processing, memory, communication, and pedagogy.

3.1. Code-Switching and Comprehension

The most immediate function of code-switching is facilitating comprehension. Foreign language learners often encounter words and concepts that exceed their current linguistic competence. In these situations, a brief explanation in the first language can allow learners to understand the intended meaning without losing the overall context of the lesson.

For example, an English teacher introducing the term environmental sustainability may first explain its meaning through an L1 equivalent or a short L1 explanation and then continue using the English term throughout the lesson. In this case, the first language functions as a bridge to the target-language concept rather than replacing the target language.

This function may be particularly important for beginner and lower-intermediate learners. When learners do not understand an essential instruction or concept, continued use of the target language alone may result in confusion. A short switch to L1 can resolve the problem efficiently.

Code-switching may also facilitate the comprehension of culturally specific concepts. Some terms or ideas may lack direct equivalents in the learners' first language, while others may require contextual explanation. In such cases, teachers can combine languages strategically to establish conceptual understanding.

Thus, the first language can serve as a form of scaffolding, helping learners access new knowledge while gradually encouraging them to operate increasingly independently in the target language.

3.2. Code-Switching and Cognitive Processing

Foreign language processing places demands on attention, working memory, and executive control. Learners have to recognize linguistic input, identify meaning, interpret

grammatical structures, and formulate responses. These processes can become particularly demanding when the learner's proficiency is limited.

Strategic code-switching may reduce some of these processing difficulties. Rather than requiring learners to construct meaning entirely from unfamiliar target-language input, a teacher can provide a short L1 explanation and allow learners to allocate cognitive resources to the essential part of the task. For example, imagine a teacher explaining a complex distinction between “used to,” “be used to,” and “get used to.” A fully target-language explanation may consume substantial processing resources for an elementary learner. A brief L1 clarification of the underlying conceptual difference may allow the learner to understand the grammar before practicing it in English. This does not mean that L1 automatically reduces cognitive difficulty in every situation. Its usefulness depends on whether the language switch directly contributes to the learning objective. Unnecessary switching may actually interrupt processing and prevent learners from developing target-language inferencing skills. Consequently, code-switching appears most useful when it reduces irrelevant difficulty while preserving the cognitive challenge that is essential for learning.

3.3. Code-Switching and Working Memory

Working memory plays an important role in temporary information processing during language comprehension and production. Learners must hold linguistic information in mind while simultaneously interpreting or manipulating it. When foreign language input is too difficult, working-memory resources may become overloaded. Learners may forget the beginning of an instruction while processing the final part, or they may understand individual words but fail to construct the overall meaning. A carefully timed switch to the first language may reduce such unnecessary processing pressure. For example, when a teacher gives a multi-step classroom instruction, an L1 clarification of the task requirements can allow students to devote their working-memory resources to performing the task itself rather than decoding the instructions. This suggests that code-switching can function as a form of cognitive support, particularly in cognitively demanding classroom situations.

3.4. Code-Switching and Vocabulary Learning

Vocabulary acquisition is another area in which code-switching may play an important role. New words are easier to learn when they are connected with meaningful concepts already represented in memory. Providing an L1 equivalent can create an association between the unfamiliar target-language form and an existing conceptual representation.

For instance, when students encounter the English word “scarce,” connecting it with a familiar L1 explanation may make the semantic meaning more immediately accessible. The teacher can then reinforce the word through English examples such as: “Water is scarce in some regions.” The L1 explanation establishes initial understanding, while repeated target-language use strengthens the new lexical representation. However, vocabulary instruction based entirely on translation can create dependence on one-to-one equivalence. Not every English word has a single exact equivalent in another language. Words may differ in connotation, register, collocation, or pragmatic meaning. Therefore, code-switching may be most effective when L1 provides an initial semantic anchor and target-language examples, contexts, collocations, and communicative practice subsequently develop a deeper understanding.

3.5. Code-Switching and Memory

Code-switching may also contribute to memory by creating multiple associations for new information. When a learner encounters a new target-language word and connects it to a familiar L1 concept, the new item can become integrated into an existing semantic network. For example:

English: “maintain”

L1 support: brief equivalent or explanation Context: “It is difficult to maintain a healthy lifestyle.”

Here, the learner does not encounter the word as an isolated item. Instead, the learner connects form, meaning, and contextual use. Nevertheless, the analysis suggests that the long-term benefits of code-switching depend on subsequent exposure to the target language. If a new word is translated once but never practiced in English, the learner may remember the meaning but still struggle to retrieve or use the word in spontaneous communication. Therefore, L1 can support initial encoding, but repeated target-language retrieval remains important for developing active vocabulary.

3.6. Code-Switching and Classroom Interaction

The analysis further indicates that code-switching performs an important interactional function. Learners do not always switch languages because they do not know the target language. They may also do so to communicate more precisely, emphasize an idea, express emotion, maintain interpersonal relationships, or resolve a communication difficulty. In classroom interaction, students may begin a statement in English, temporarily switch to L1 to express an unfamiliar concept, and then return to English. Such switching may allow the conversation to continue rather than terminate.

For lower-level learners, this can be particularly valuable because fear of making mistakes may prevent them from participating. A supportive teacher who allows limited strategic L1 use may increase students' willingness to speak and reduce communication anxiety. Teachers may also use code-switching for classroom management. They may use the target language for ordinary instructional discourse but switch to L1 when giving an especially important warning, explaining a complex instruction, or ensuring that all students understand a critical classroom rule.

3.7. Code-Switching as a Pedagogical Strategy

The results indicate that code-switching can be used intentionally for several pedagogical purposes:

Vocabulary explanation. A brief L1 equivalent may be used to establish initial meaning.

Grammar clarification. L1 may help explain abstract grammatical relationships that are difficult to understand from examples alone.

Task instructions. Important or complex instructions may be clarified in L1 before learners complete the activity in the target language.

Comprehension checking. Teachers can occasionally use L1 to verify that learners have understood essential concepts. Classroom management. A language switch may signal that information is particularly important. Emotional support. Brief L1 use can sometimes create rapport and make learners feel more secure.

These functions suggest that code-switching can be integrated into classroom methodology rather than treated as an accidental behavior.

Discussion

The findings of the present study support the view that code-switching is a complex psycholinguistic and pedagogical phenomenon. Rather than representing a simple failure to maintain target-language use, it can reflect the dynamic interaction of multilingual linguistic systems and the strategic management of cognitive and communicative demands. One of the most important implications concerns the traditional opposition between “English-only” and L1-supported instruction. An absolute English-only policy assumes that target-language exposure is always more beneficial than temporary use of the first language. However, the present analysis indicates that there are situations in which a brief switch to L1 may make target-language learning more efficient rather than less effective.

For example, when students do not understand the central concept of a task, continued use of unfamiliar English may increase cognitive demands without producing additional learning. A short L1 clarification may resolve the comprehension problem and allow students to complete the remaining activity in English. In such a case, L1 does not necessarily compete with the target language; it supports subsequent target-language use.

At the same time, the findings do not justify unlimited use of L1. The target language must remain central to foreign language instruction because learners need extensive exposure and production opportunities. The pedagogical challenge is therefore to determine the optimal amount and timing of code-switching.

4.1. Proficiency Level

Learner proficiency should play a central role in determining how much code-switching is appropriate.

Beginners generally have limited vocabulary and grammatical knowledge, making complete target-language instruction potentially difficult. Controlled L1 support may help them understand essential concepts and instructions.

Intermediate learners can usually tolerate more target-language input. For them, code-switching may be used selectively for abstract concepts, difficult grammatical explanations, or culturally complex content.

Advanced learners generally benefit from greater target-language immersion. At this level, teachers should encourage learners to use paraphrasing, contextual inference, synonyms, and circumlocution instead of immediately switching to L1.

Thus, code-switching should be viewed as proficiency-sensitive rather than applied uniformly to all learners.

4.2. Task Type

The appropriate use of code-switching also depends on the nature of the classroom task. During grammar explanation, vocabulary presentation, or clarification of difficult instructions, limited L1 use may be useful. In contrast, during communicative speaking tasks, debates, role-plays, presentations, and pronunciation activities, target-language use should generally be prioritized.

This distinction is important because the objective of a speaking activity is not simply to understand meaning but to develop the ability to formulate and communicate meaning in the target language.

For example, allowing a learner to use L1 while discussing an abstract idea may help maintain the conversation during a preparatory stage. However, requiring the learner to

express the same idea in English during the final presentation creates an opportunity for target-language development.

4.3. Teacher Awareness and Training

The findings have significant implications for teacher education. Teachers need to understand that code-switching can serve different functions and that these functions are not equally beneficial.

Teacher training programs could therefore include practical guidance on:

- identifying situations in which L1 use is cognitively beneficial;
- distinguishing strategic from habitual code-switching;
- minimizing unnecessary translation;
- encouraging target-language interaction;
- using L1 as temporary scaffolding;
- gradually reducing L1 support as proficiency increases.

Teachers should ask themselves three questions before switching languages:

Why am I switching?

Will the switch help learners achieve the lesson objective?

Can the same purpose be achieved effectively in the target language?

These questions can prevent automatic or excessive use of L1.

4.4. Code-Switching and Learner Autonomy

Another important pedagogical implication is learner autonomy. Students should eventually develop strategies that allow them to function independently in the target language. If every unfamiliar word is translated, learners may not develop tolerance of ambiguity or inferencing skills.

Therefore, teachers should gradually train learners to cope with unknown language through contextual clues, word formation, synonyms, examples, and paraphrasing. In this model, code-switching becomes a temporary support rather than a permanent dependency.

4.5. Code-Switching and Multilingual Identity

Code-switching also has a social and identity-related dimension. Multilingual learners may not experience their languages as separate compartments. Switching between languages can reflect their natural communicative practices and their multilingual identities. Treating all L1 use as a mistake may unintentionally send learners the message that their existing linguistic knowledge is undesirable. A more inclusive approach recognizes that previous linguistic experience can represent a valuable cognitive resource. This does not imply that every classroom interaction should involve multiple languages. Rather, it means that learners' multilingual resources should not automatically be viewed as obstacles.

Conclusion

The present study examined the psycholinguistic functions and pedagogical implications of code-switching in multilingual foreign language classrooms. The analysis demonstrates that language alternation can perform a variety of interconnected cognitive, communicative, and pedagogical functions.

From a psycholinguistic perspective, code-switching may facilitate comprehension by connecting unfamiliar target-language information with existing linguistic knowledge. It may also reduce unnecessary cognitive demands, support the management of working-memory resources, establish lexical associations, and assist vocabulary retrieval. From a communicative

perspective, code-switching can help learners overcome lexical limitations and maintain interaction when they experience difficulties expressing themselves in the target language. Therefore, the central pedagogical principle is strategic rather than absolute language choice. Teachers should consider learners' proficiency, task type, instructional objectives, and cognitive demands before switching languages. Beginners may benefit from greater L1 support, while advanced learners should generally receive more opportunities for target-language processing and interaction.

Future empirical research could investigate code-switching through classroom observations, learner interviews, experimental studies, and quantitative measurements of comprehension and vocabulary retention. Such research would help determine not only whether code-switching is useful, but also what amount, timing, and type of code-switching produces the greatest benefits for different categories of foreign language learners.

References:

1. Cook, V. (2001). Using the first language in the classroom. *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 57(3), 402–423.
2. Cook, V. (2016). *Second Language Learning and Language Teaching* (5th ed.). Routledge.
3. Cummins, J. (2007). Rethinking monolingual instructional strategies in multilingual classrooms. *Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 10(2), 221–240.
4. Grosjean, F. (2010). *Bilingual: Life and Reality*. Harvard University Press.
5. Macaro, E. (2009). Teacher use of code-switching in the second language classroom: Exploring “optimal” use. In M. Turnbull & J. Dailey-O'Cain (Eds.), *First Language Use in Second and Foreign Language Learning*. Multilingual Matters.
6. Myers-Scotton, C. (1993). *Social Motivations for Codeswitching: Evidence from Africa*. Oxford University Press.
7. Mehri gul, N. (2020). Pedagogical Bases of Innovation Activities in Higher Education Institutions. *International Journal on Integrated Education*, 2(2), 59-60. ijarbas.com
8. Najmiddinova M.N. Gender Asymmetries in The Conceptualization of Hospitality: A Contrastive Study of English And Uzbek Cultural Models // *International Journal of Literature and Languages*. ISSN: 2771-2834, Vol.06, Issue 05, 31.05.2026. -P. 369-372. <https://doi.org/10.37547/ijll/Volume06Issue05-75>
9. Najmiddinova M.N. Cross-Cultural Pragmatic Failures in Translating Hospitality Concepts: Evidence from English And Uzbek Literary Discourse // *European International Journal of Philological Sciences*. ISSN: 2751-1715, Vol. 06, Issue 09, 12.09.2026. -P.8-12. <https://doi.org/10.55640/eijps-06-09-02>