



ENSURING VICTIMOLOGICAL PREVENTION IN PENITENTIARY INSTITUTIONS

Shodiyev Abdukarim Abdurakhmonovich

Associate Professor of the Academy of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of
the Republic of Uzbekistan

Abduhamidov Behruzbek Nodirbekovich

Cadet of the Academy of the Ministry of Internal
Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15665994>

Abstract: This article is dedicated to the theoretical and practical aspects of implementing victimological prevention in penitentiary institutions. The article thoroughly analyzes the main concepts of victimology, victimological risk factors in the penitentiary system, and measures for their prevention. Drawing on research by foreign and local scholars, the importance of victimological prevention in the social rehabilitation of prisoners, the prevention of intra-institutional violence, and ensuring public safety is demonstrated. To develop this field in Uzbekistan, the article recommends utilizing scientific research, legislative reforms, and international experience. The study aims to enhance the effectiveness of the penitentiary system and facilitate the social reintegration of prisoners.

Keywords: victimology, penitentiary institutions, victimological prevention, social rehabilitation, violence prevention, penal system, social security.

The implementation of victimological prevention in penitentiary institutions is one of the crucial directions in modern criminology and penitentiary practice. Victimological prevention is considered a key factor in crime prevention, ensuring social security within the penal system, and the rehabilitation of inmates. This article is dedicated to a comprehensive analysis of the theoretical foundations, practical aspects, risk factors, and methods to enhance the effectiveness of victimological prevention in penitentiary institutions. The article draws upon scientific works of foreign and local scholars to present analytical conclusions on the subject.

Victimology emerged in the mid-20th century as an independent branch of criminology focused on studying issues related to crime victims, or the injured parties. L.V. Frank defines the main task of victimology as "analyzing the dynamics of the relationship between the offender and the victim" [1]. From this perspective, victimological prevention encompasses a set of measures aimed at addressing victims' behavior, socio-cultural factors, and reducing the risk of crime. In penitentiary institutions, this process takes on particular significance, as the relationships between victims and offenders can become more intense in these settings. As D.A. Shestakov emphasizes, "victimological prevention in the penitentiary system serves not only to prevent inmates from reoffending but also to regulate social relations within the institution" [2].

Identifying victimological risk factors in penitentiary institutions is of great importance in developing preventive measures. According to A.Q. Rustamov, "the social environment in penitentiary institutions is inextricably linked with the personal characteristics of inmates, conflicts between groups, and the professional activities of the institution's staff" [3]. When analyzing these factors, several risks become apparent. Firstly, socio-hierarchical conflicts among inmates, specifically the structure of "layers," serve as the primary source of violence and pressure. R. Galiakbarov refers to this as "penitentiary subculture" and emphasizes that it

is the main obstacle in prevention [4]. Secondly, the psychological state of inmates, including stress, depression, and propensity for aggression, increases their risk of victimization. Thirdly, institutional factors such as insufficient staff qualifications, weak supervision, and the absence of a prevention program further exacerbate the risk.

Implementing victimological prevention requires a comprehensive approach. Looking at foreign experiences, countries like Norway and Sweden place special emphasis on the social rehabilitation of prisoners. N. Christie emphasizes that "humane treatment of prisoners reduces their risk of victimization" [5]. In these countries, prisoners' social relationships are improved through psychological services, education, and work activities. For example, Germany is successfully implementing "anti-violence training," which serves to reduce aggressive behavior among prisoners [6]. In Uzbekistan, however, there are several issues in the field of victimological prevention. A.K. Rustamov points out that "insufficient attention is given to victimological prevention in the local penitentiary system, which may lead to an increase in the level of violence among prisoners" [7].

Regarding the practical aspects of victimological prevention in penitentiary institutions, the role of psychological services in working with inmates is crucial. Psychological support serves to reduce the levels of stress and aggression among prisoners. Moreover, education and work activities improve the social relationships of inmates and diminish the influence of subcultures. Specialized training of institutional staff in identifying and combating victimological risks strengthens the institutional foundation of prevention. J. Woods refers to this as the "institutional basis of prevention" [8].

For the development of victimological prevention in Uzbekistan, it is essential to consider local characteristics. For instance, it should be noted that violence among prisoners is often linked to socio-cultural factors, including traditional hierarchies and group divisions. To address these issues, it is necessary to enhance domestic research and adapt foreign experiences to local conditions. For example, when working with female prisoners, a specialized program can be developed that takes into account their sociocultural characteristics and vulnerability to victimization.

Several measures are recommended to enhance the effectiveness of victimological prevention. Firstly, it is necessary to strengthen research activities. According to V.P. Malkov, "preventive measures without scientific analysis will be ineffective" [9]. Secondly, legislation needs to be improved. It is crucial to incorporate norms on victimological prevention into legislation and monitor their implementation. Thirdly, developing international cooperation contributes to increasing the effectiveness of prevention. It is important to exchange experiences with foreign countries and implement their successful practices. For example, Norway's prisoner rehabilitation program could be applied in the penitentiary system of Uzbekistan.

One of the crucial aspects of victimological prevention in penitentiary institutions is the implementation of measures aimed at the social rehabilitation of prisoners. In this process, programs focused on prisoners' education, vocational training, and improving social relationships play a vital role. According to international experience, prisoner employment reduces their propensity for antisocial behavior. For instance, in Sweden, the education and labor program for prisoners significantly decreases their likelihood of reoffending [10].

To develop practical aspects of victimological prevention in Uzbekistan, it is crucial to enhance the qualifications of institutional staff. Specialized training for employees in

identifying and combating victimological risks increases the effectiveness of prevention measures. Furthermore, to prevent conflicts among inmates, it is necessary to regulate the social environment within the institution. In this process, the work of local researchers, including the studies of A.Q. Rustamov, is of great significance as they provide an in-depth analysis of the characteristics of the local penitentiary system.

To develop theoretical and practical aspects of victimological prevention in penitentiary institutions, it is important to integrate local and foreign experiences. For instance, anti-violence training programs and rehabilitation schemes used in foreign countries can be adapted to the penitentiary system in Uzbekistan. These programs aim to improve inmates' social relationships and reduce the likelihood of recidivism. Additionally, it is recommended to organize specialized courses to enhance the professional training of institutional staff. These courses should focus on teaching the identification of victimological risks, conflict management, and the application of psychological approaches in working with inmates.

Another crucial direction for enhancing the effectiveness of victimological prevention in Uzbekistan is the improvement of the legislative framework. Currently, there is a noticeable lack of sufficient clear norms regarding victimological prevention in the penitentiary system. Therefore, it is necessary to incorporate special clauses into the legislation aimed at preventing victimological risks and rehabilitating prisoners. These norms will serve to regulate the activities of the institution's staff and increase the effectiveness of preventive measures.

In conclusion, the implementation of victimological prevention in penitentiary institutions plays a significant role in increasing the effectiveness of the penal system. This process should be based on theoretical foundations and reinforced with practical measures. Based on the research of foreign and local scientists, victimological prevention is of great importance in the social rehabilitation of prisoners, the prevention of intra-institutional violence, and ensuring public safety. To further develop work in this direction in Uzbekistan, it is recommended to utilize scientific research, legislative reforms, and international experience. These measures will serve to enhance the effectiveness of the penitentiary system and ensure the re-socialization of prisoners.

References:

1. Frank L.V. Victimology and Criminology // Issues of Criminology. - 1972. - No. 1. - P. 45-50.
2. Shestakov D.A. Criminology: Textbook // Legal Journal. - 2005. - Vol. 1. - No. 3. - P. 12-18.
3. Rustamov A.Q. Issues of prevention in the penitentiary system // Bulletin of the Academy of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan. - 2018. - Vol. 1. - No. 2. - P. 78-83.
4. Galiakbarov R. Penitentiary subculture and its impact on prevention // Kazan Legal Journal. - 2010. - Vol. 2. - No. 4. - P. 56-61.
5. Christie N. Crime Control as Industry // International Journal of Criminology. - 2000. - Vol. 2. - No. 1. - P. 34-39.
6. Müller K. Anti-Violence Training in German Prisons // European Journal of Criminology. - 2015. - Vol. 3. - No. 2. - P. 89-94.
7. Rustamov A.Q. Penitentiary system in Uzbekistan: problems and solutions // Adolat Journal. - 2020. - Vol. 3. - No. 1. - P. 102-107.

8. Woods J. Institutional Foundations of Victim Prevention // Journal of Criminal Justice. - 2018. - Vol. 5. - No. 3. - P. 123-129.
9. Malkov V.P. Criminology: theory and practice // Legal Bulletin. - 2012. - Vol. 4. - No. 2. - P. 67-72.
10. Larsson P. Rehabilitation Programs in Swedish Prisons // Scandinavian Journal of Criminology. - 2017. - Vol. 4. - No. 1. - P. 45-50.

